



Circulation on the eastern Tasmanian continental shelf: The mean state and seasonal cycle

Eric C. J. Oliver^{1,2}, Neil J. Holbrook^{1,2}

¹Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, AUS ²Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science

Special acknowledgements to: Mike Herzfeld, Mark Baird, John Andrewartha, Farhan Rizwi (CSIRO, EMS Team) Jessica Benthuysen (AIMS) Craig Mundy (IMAS-FAC)

translating nature into knowledge



Overview



- Global marine climate is warming
- The SW Pacific (Tasman Sea) is a hotspot of change
- Impacts on marine ecology are already being felt
- Understanding of historical marine climate around Tasmania can be improved, especially near-shore
- Large-scale models and reanalysis are not designed for near-shore studies
- Solutions include statistical and dynamical downscaling
 - Existing: SETAS, D'Entrecasteaux Channel, etc...
 - We built a model for eastern Tasmania





Regional Oceanography



- **East Australian Current (EAC)**, a quasi-steady western boundary current, separates from the coast ~33°S.
- The EAC Extension continues southward transport as far as Tasmania, but as an unsteady, eddy-rich "current"
- The Zeehan Current, part of a current system extending all the way to WA, runs southward and eastward along the west and south coasts of Tasmania [Cresswell 2000]



http://www.marine.csiro.au/~lband/yacht_races/yyzeecur.html



 Along the southeast coast of Tasmania, the EAC Extension is dominant in summer and the Zeehan Current is dominant in winter



Model and Grid



- We modeled the eastern Tasmania continental shelf using the Sparse Hydrodynamic Ocean Code (SHOC) model [Herzfeld, 2006]
- <u>Domain</u>: South Cape to ~Eddystone
 Point and seaward out to shelf break
- <u>Bathymetry</u>: Australian Geological Survey Organisation (AGSO) 2002 + SETAS
- <u>Resolution</u>: ~1.9 km resolution
- 43 <u>z-levels</u> in the vertical
- Supports <u>tidal forcing</u> at boundaries using CSR 4.0 tidal model

Herzfeld, M. (2006), An alternative coordinate system for solving finite difference ocean models, Ocean Modelling, 14 (3-4), 174-196





Model and Grid



- We modeled the eastern Tasmania continental shelf using the Sparse Hydrodynamic Ocean Code (SHOC) model [Herzfeld, 2006]
- <u>Domain</u>: South Cape to ~Eddystone
 Point and seaward out to shelf break
- <u>Bathymetry</u>: Australian Geological Survey Organisation (AGSO) 2002 + SETAS
- <u>Resolution</u>: ~1.9 km resolution
- 43 <u>z-levels</u> in the vertical
- Supports <u>tidal forcing</u> at boundaries using CSR 4.0 tidal model

Herzfeld, M. (2006), An alternative coordinate system for solving finite difference ocean models, Ocean Modelling, 14 (3-4), 174-196







 <u>Boundary conditions</u> used the recently-developed Dirichlet boundary condition of Herzfeld and Andrewartha (2012)

Herzfeld, M. and J. R. Andrewartha (2012), A simple, stable and accurate Dirichlet open boundary condition for ocean model downscaling, Ocean Modelling, 43-44, 1-21

Boundary Forcing





 <u>Lateral boundaries</u> were forced by velocities, temperature and salinity from **Bluelink** reanalysis and analysis fields



Herzfeld, M. and J. R. Andrewartha (2012), A simple, stable and accurate Dirichlet open boundary condition for ocean model downscaling, Ocean Modelling, 43-44, 1-21

BRAN = Bluelink ReANalysis OceanMAPS = Bluelink Ocean Modelling, Analysis, and Prediction System

Boundary Forcing





- <u>Boundary conditions</u> used the recently-developed Dirichlet boundary condition of Herzfeld and Andrewartha (2012)
- <u>Lateral boundaries</u> were forced by velocities, temperature and salinity from **Bluelink** reanalysis and analysis fields
- <u>Surface was forcing</u> was provided from the NCEP Climate Forecast System (CFS) Reanalysis and Reforecast
- <u>Coverage</u>: 1993-2014

Herzfeld, M. and J. R. Andrewartha (2012), A simple, stable and accurate Dirichlet open boundary condition for ocean model downscaling, Ocean Modelling, 43-44, 1-21

BRAN = Bluelink ReANalysis

OceanMAPS = Bluelink Ocean Modelling, Analysis, and Prediction System CFSR = Climate Forecast System Reanalysis

CFSv2 = Climate Forecast System version 2 (operational forecast system)







Validation Data





- <u>In-situ time series</u>
 - Maria Island time series [RED]
 - Historical temperature and salinity @ surface and 5 depths
 - Quasi-monthly, 1944 2008
 - Craig Mundy (IMAS-FAC, UTAS), near-bottom temperature gauges [BLUE]
 - Near-bottom temperature in 5-20 m water depths
 - Daily, 2005 present-ish
 - 2 Tide gauges (Hobart, Spring Bay) [BLACK]
 - Sea level
 - Hourly and daily, 1985 2012
- <u>Remotely sensed</u>
 - NOAA OI SST V2: daily, 1/4° x 1/4° resolution maps, 1982-2014









- Maria Island Time Series
- Temperature, model captures well:
 - The total variability at all depths

model

The seasonal cycle

observations







- Maria Island Time Series
- Temperature, model captures well:
 - The total variability at all depths

model

The seasonal cycle

observations

The non-seasonal variability







- Maria Island Time Series
- Temperature, model captures well:
 - The total variability at all depths

model

The seasonal cycle

observations -

- The non-seasonal variability
- The inter-annual variability







• Maria Island Time Series

- Temperature, model captures well:
 - The total variability at all depths
 - The seasonal cycle
 - The non-seasonal variability
 - The inter-annual variability
- Salinity:
 - A notable bias in mean salinity throughout the water column
 - May be related to salinity bias in BRAN3, transmitted through boundary conditions

observations —— model

Near-bottom temperatures



2012

Near-bottom temperature loggers

Model captures well the total variability (incl. seasonal cycle) •

Total temperature

FOR MARINE AND NTARCTIC STUDIES











Surface Mean State





Surface Climatology





Surface Climatology





Subsurface Climatology





Cross-shelf Structure



 Sections across shelf showing temperature (colours) and along-shelf currents (contours)

ARCTIC STUDIES

 Seasonal alternation of Zeehan Current / EAC Extension, width depth-dependent and cross-shelf structure.



Cross-shelf Structure



 Sections across shelf showing temperature (colours) and along-shelf currents (contours)

CTIC STUDIES

- Seasonal alternation of Zeehan Current / EAC Extension, width depth-dependent and cross-shelf structure.
- Transport over shelf is always northward off Bruny Is., northward outside of Summer elsewhere

Along-shore transport [Sv]





Roles of tides and rivers



- Role of the rivers (R/NT NR/NT):
 - Reduced salinity in Derwent and Huon estuaries
 - Estuary mouths warm while rivers cool



- <u>Tidal interactions</u> (*R/T R/NT*):
 - Tide-River interactions can be significant in and around river estuaries, Note: <u>Frederick Henry</u> <u>Bay and Norfolk Bay</u>





Roles of tides and rivers



- Role of the rivers (R/NT NR/NT):
 - Reduced salinity in Derwent and Huon estuaries
 - Estuary mouths warm while rivers cool



- <u>Tidal interactions</u> (*R/T R/NT*):
 - Tide-River interactions can be significant in and around river estuaries, Note: <u>Frederick Henry</u> <u>Bay and Norfolk Bay</u>







- **ETAS** model compares well against observed coastal sea level, historical Maria Island time series, near-bottom temperature at a number of sites, and remotely-sensed SST across the shelf
- Seasonal alternation between dominance of Zeehan Current in Winter (JJA) and EAC Extension in Summer (DJF) and cross-shelf structure
- <u>Roles of rivers, tides, and climate modes</u>:
 - **Rivers** freshen and cool estuary waters
 - **Tides** can interact with the rivers in a complex way
 - Climate modes (SAM, Tasman Blocking) play a role in modulating near-shore marine climate through precipitation (and thus river inputs), surface air temperature and wind forcing
- **Future work**: relative role of surface and boundary forcing, interaction between off-shore eddies and the shelf, influence of ENSO, interannual variability, quantifying EAC vs. ZC dominance, marine heatwaves

<u>Acknowledgements</u>: Mike Herzfeld, John Andrewartha, Mike Baird, Farhan Rizwi (CSIRO), Jessica Benthuysen (AIMS), Craig Mundy (IMAS-FAC). Australian Research Council Super Science Fellowship and Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science





Extra Slides...





- <u>Time steps</u>
 - 3D: 60s (CFL: 72.8)
 - 2D: 3.75s (CFL: 4.2s)
- <u>Horizontal mixing scheme</u>
 - Smagorinsky (c=0.1) for diffusivity
 - Viscosity = 370 m²/s for avg. grid size (~1.9 km)
 - Scaled over domain based on changing grid size
- <u>Vertical mixing scheme</u>
 - k-epsilon (Burchard et al. 1998)
 - Background diffusivity and viscosity = 10^{-5} m²/s
- <u>Bottom friction using drag law</u>



River Input



- River input (<u>flow rate and water temperature</u>) required for Derwent River and Huon River
- River inputs predicted from precipitation and air temperature using a lag-regression model and then reconstructed over 1993-2014







- We also require river input (<u>flow rate and water temperature</u>) for the two major rivers in SE Tasmania: Derwent River and Huon River
- We have <u>observed records</u> of flow (m³/s) and water temp for both rivers, but records very short and very recent (Nov/2009 -late/2013; shorter for temp) and we require these quantities over the entire 1993-2013 period
- Therefore, we <u>modeled river flow</u> (F) using precipitation (P) over the river catchments (from CFSR/CFSv2) as a predictor in a multiple lag-regression model:

$$\log(F_t) = \alpha + \sum_{l=0}^{L} \beta_l \log(P_{t-l})$$

And a similar model (without log-transforms) to estimate river temperature from local air temperature

- A two-fold cross-validation was performed to determine which value of *L* provided the best fit
- Given a satisfactory fit, we used historical precipitation and air temperature from CFSR/CFSv2 to <u>reconstruct river flow and temperature</u> over the entire 1993-2013 period





- <u>Spin-up</u>: model was spun up for 3 years using normal year forcing*, initialized from Bluelink on 1/1/1993
- <u>Historical hindcast</u>: model was then forced by realistic forcing over the 1993-2014 period
- <u>Four runs</u> were performed for all combinations
 - with and without tidal forcing (T and NT), and
 - with and without river inputs (R and NR)
 - The base run for validation was with river input and no-tides (R/NT)

* NYF: climatological seasonal cycle, subseasonal variability from 1995, mean of 1993; following *Large and Yeager (2004)*; rivers are climatological only



Tide Gauges



• Model captures well sea level at Hobart and Spring Bay tide gauges



* Willmott, C.J. (1982) On the validation of models, *Physical Geography*, 2(2), 184-194



Near-bottom temperature loggers

ARCTIC STUDIES

• Model captures well the total variability (incl. seasonal cycle) and non-seasonal signal



Remotely-sensed SST



- Model captures well mean SST remotely-sensed by AVHRR (gridded NOAA OI V2 product)
- A slight near-shore warm bias (0.5°C) in the northern 2/3 of the domain.

Remotely-sensed SST







Roles of tides and rivers





Difference between black and green curves (tides and no-tides, <u>with</u> <u>rivers</u>) that cannot be explained by the difference between the blue and red curves (tides and no-tides, <u>without rivers</u>) indicates a **tide-river interaction**





• RMS differences showing influence of **rivers (left)** and **tides (right)** on the **variability** of temperature

CTIC STUDIES

